1 FILED - SOUTHERN DIVISION CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT 2 3 APR 3 0 2012 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
BY SW. DEPUTY UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 WESTERN DIVISION 11 Case No. CV 11-06613 JHN (AN) 12 CHRISTOPHER SURICO, 13 Petitioner, ORDER ACCEPTING THE FINDINGS 14 v. UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE GARY SANDOR, Warden, 15 16 Respondent. 17 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the file, including the 18 19 Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation ("R&R") and Petitioner's Objections, which are erroneously titled as a "Reply" to the R&R (dkt. 23). Further, the Court has 20 completed a de novo review of those portions of the R&R to which Petitioner has 21 22 objected. 23 IT IS ORDERED that: 24 The Objections are overruled. Petitioner merely re-argues the trial evidence 1. and attempts to cast it in a light favorable to his position. But as stated in the R&R, a 25 26 reviewing court may not second-guess decisions the jury made in the course of

determining the credibility of witnesses, resolving conflicts in the testimony, weighing

the evidence, and drawing reasonable inferences from proven facts. Jackson v. Virginia,

27

28